



Customer Relationship Management Implementation and Its Impact on Sales Performance in the Baking Sector in Zambia: The Case of Access Bank

¹Suwilanjani Simumba, ²Wilson Silungwe*,
University of Lusaka^{1,2}

Corresponding Author: *Wilson Silungwe, Email: silungwewilson@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Adoption of customer relationship management (CRM) is commonly acknowledged as a key factor in effective sales. There is, however, a dearth of data from emerging-market banks that empirically support this assertion. This study examined the relationship between sales success in the banking industry and the three aspects of CRM implementation, which are system quality, user training quality and data quality. Access Bank Zambia Limited was chosen as the focus for the study. A total of 200 sales people participated in a cross-sectional survey. Hierarchical multiple regression was used to analyze objective sales metrics together with measures of perceived system functioning, reliability, and usefulness; training comprehensiveness and interactivity; and data accuracy, completeness, and timeliness. Results show that 32.5% of the variation in sales performance was explained by CRM system quality alone ($\beta = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$). A total adjusted R² of 0.486 ($p < 0.001$) was obtained by adding user training quality, which raised explained variance by 9.3% ($\beta = 0.24$, $p = 0.002$), and CRM data quality, which added 6.8% ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.001$). These results show that over half of the observed diversity in sales success is driven by a combination of strong system platforms, extensive training programs, and high-fidelity customer data. Practical implications include prioritizing infrastructure redundancies, blended-learning training frameworks, and automated data-governance controls to maximise CRM returns in resource-constrained banking environments.

Keywords: CRM implementation; sales performance; system quality; training quality; data quality; Zambia.

1. INTRODUCTION

CRM has evolved from rudimentary contact-management tools in the late 1990s to sophisticated, analytics-driven platforms underpinning modern sales and marketing strategies (Payne & Frow, 2017). Initially, CRM focused on digitising customer interaction records; early research by Buttle and Maklan (2015) documented how firms leveraged databases to automate mailings and track service requests. Since then, CRM has matured to incorporate real-time data integration, predictive analytics, and AI-enabled insights, enabling firms to personalise offerings and forecast customer needs with increasing precision (Kumar & Reinartz, 2016).

Rigby, Reichheld, and Schefter (2002) underscored the strategic imperative for organisations to align technology investments with customer-centric processes. Over the ensuing decade, research shifted toward the ingredients of successful CRM deployments. DeLone and McLean's (2003) IS Success Model identified system quality, information quality, and service quality as critical antecedents to system use and net benefits. Subsequent empirical work by Reinartz, Krafft, and Hoyer (2014) refined this perspective in the sales domain, showing robust CRM use yields, on average, a 12% uplift in sales growth across industries.

Meanwhile, Trainor et al. (2014) and Choudhury and Harrigan (2020) investigated the role of advanced analytics within CRM, demonstrating that real-time dashboards and segmentation algorithms enhanced cross-sell rates by 15–20%. Nguyen et al. (2019) reported substantial benefits of mobile-enabled CRM in a large insurance firm, with 22% greater policy-sales growth for app-enabled agents versus desktop-only users.

In Africa, CRM adoption has shown promise and challenges. Boateng (2016) reported Ghanaian banks experienced roughly 8% annual revenue growth post-CRM deployment, though infrastructural constraints often undermined reliability (Kwakye & Obeng, 2018). Hove and Takala (2020) found training improved initial CRM use but high turnover and budget constraints hindered sustained skill development. In Zambia, Mbewe and Kunda (2021) highlighted data-silo risks from partial integration with core banking systems. Phiri (2022) documented infrastructure readiness issues such as bandwidth limitations causing delays in real-time CRM updates. Chisanga (2023) offered more granular insights into training and data-quality problems at Access Bank Zambia Limited, noting substantial initial training but limited refresher training and a corresponding drop in data-entry accuracy.

Theoretical contributions have also evolved. Beyond the IS Success Model, researchers have applied the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework to CRM, highlighting how organisational readiness and external pressures shape adoption (Oliveira & Martins, 2011). In CRM, this translates to assessments of management support, data-governance policies, and competitive dynamics affecting implementation success



(Ahearne, Jelinek & Jones, 2019). More recently, scholars blend behavioural and technical perspectives, exploring user experience design, gamification, and ethical considerations of predictive analytics (Ostrom et al., 2019; Martin & Murphy, 2017). In emerging markets, hybrid deployment models, cloud plus on-premises, are gaining traction to balance reliability and cost (Boateng & Okoe, 2020).

Against this backdrop, this study aimed to address the empirical and literature gaps in the Zambian banking sector context by quantitatively examining how three implementation dimensions, system quality, training quality, and data quality, relate to sales performance, using Access Bank Zambia Limited as the focal point.

2. RESEARCH PROBLEM AND OBJECTIVES

Despite widespread CRM adoption in the banking sector, evidence linking specific implementation dimensions to sales outcomes in Zambia remains limited. Anecdotal and qualitative accounts suggest challenges in infrastructure reliability, training continuity, and data governance; yet there is a need for rigorous, quantitative evidence that can guide executive decision-making in resource-constrained environments. The primary objective of this study is to quantify the impact of CRM system quality, training quality, and data quality on sales performance at Access Bank Zambia Limited. Specifically, the study's objectives were to:

1. Measure sales performance and its key determinants within Access Bank Zambia Limited.
2. Assess how perceived CRM system quality (functionality, reliability, usability) relates to sales performance.
3. Examine whether training quality (comprehensiveness, interactivity) adds explanatory power beyond system quality.
4. Determine whether data quality (accuracy, completeness, timeliness) provides incremental explanatory power beyond system and training quality.

3. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

This study adopted a tripartite framework for CRM implementation:

1. System Quality: The perceived functionality, reliability, and usability of the CRM system as experienced by sales personnel.
2. Training Quality: The comprehensiveness, practicality, and interactivity of training programmes designed to equip sales staff with CRM competencies.
3. Data Quality: The accuracy, completeness, and timeliness of customer data accessible through the CRM.

Sales performance is measured using objective sales metrics (e.g., new accounts, cross-sell rates, revenue per salesperson) and self-reported performance proxies. The framework posits that each CRM implementation dimension contributes to sales performance, with potential synergistic effects when combined.

4. SCOPE AND SIGNIFICANCE

The study focused on Access Bank Zambia Limited, a mid-to-large-sized retail and corporate bank operating in a developing economy with infrastructural constraints common to the region. The significance lies in:

1. Providing quantitative evidence on CRM value drivers in an emerging-market banking context.
2. Informing managers about where to allocate scarce resources (system capabilities, training programs, data governance) to maximize sales outcomes.
3. Contributing to the broader literature by testing the tripartite dimensions of CRM implementation in a sub-Saharan African setting.

5. LITERATURE REVIEW

CRM is typically defined as a set of processes, information systems, and technologies aimed at managing customer relationships across the lifecycle, from prospecting to post-sale service (Buttle & Maklan, 2015; Payne & Frow, 2017). Core constructs include customer data management, touchpoint integration, analytics, and cross-functional alignment of sales, marketing, and service.

5.1 Theoretical Foundations

The following theories were adopted for this study:

1. IS Success Model: This model looks at system quality, information quality, service quality influence use and net benefits (DeLone & McLean, 2003).
2. TOE Framework: The TOE Framework tackles technology, organization, and environment as determinants of adoption and benefits (Kim, Chan & Gupta, 2006; Oliveira & Martins, 2011).



3. Resource-Based View (RBV): CRM capabilities as strategic resources that enable competitive advantages through data assets and analytics capabilities (Barney, 1991; Reinartz et al., 2004).

5.2 CRM System Quality and Sales Performance

System quality encompasses reliability, usability, and functionality. High system quality reduces user friction, increases user adoption, and improves the speed and accuracy of customer interactions. Empirical evidence across sectors suggests a positive link between system quality and sales outcomes, notably through improved lead tracking, faster response times, and better forecasting (Reinartz et al., 2014; Trainor et al., 2014).

5.3 Training Quality and CRM Effectiveness

Training quality influences the extent to which staff can leverage CRM capabilities. Comprehensive, interactive, and ongoing training tends to yield higher system utilization, data accuracy, and process adherence, translating into improved sales performance and customer satisfaction (Hove & Takala, 2020; Chisanga, 2023). The blended-learning approach, combining face-to-face with digital modules, has shown promise in resource-constrained environments.

5.4 Data Quality and CRM Outcomes

Data quality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, drives the reliability of CRM insights and the effectiveness of decision-making. Inaccurate or stale data undermines segmentation, targeting, and forecasting, dampening sales performance. Prior studies emphasize data governance, data cleansing, and real-time data integration as critical enablers of CRM value (Martin & Murphy, 2017; Nguyen et al., 2019).

5.5 CRM in Emerging Markets and African Banking

Emerging-market studies highlight unique constraints: infrastructural reliability, power, connectivity, and skill retention. In Africa, CRM adoption has correlated with productivity gains but is tempered by data-quality challenges and training continuity (Boateng, 2016; Kwakye & Obeng, 2018; Phiri, 2022; Chisanga, 2023). These dynamics justify focused, context-specific investigations in Zambia.

5.6 Gaps in Literature

Gaps include limited quantitative disentangling of system quality, training quality, and data quality effects in Zambian banking, small samples, and cross-sectional designs. This study advances the literature by using a robust sample of sales personnel, validated measures, and a hierarchical regression approach to isolate incremental effects.

5.7 Hypotheses

1. H₁: CRM system quality is positively associated with sales performance.
2. H₂: CRM training quality adds incremental explanatory power beyond system quality in predicting sales performance.
3. H₃: CRM data quality adds incremental explanatory power beyond system and training quality in predicting sales performance.

6. METHODOLOGY

6.1 Research Design

A cross-sectional survey design was employed to test the relationships between CRM implementation dimensions and sales performance within Access Bank Zambia Limited. The study combines perceptual measures of system quality, training quality, and data quality with objective sales metrics.

6.2 Population and Sampling

The population included all sales personnel involved in CRM-enabled processes at Access Bank Zambia Limited (retail and small-business segments). The Sampling frame were secured from the human resources and the sales department targeting 200 respondents to ensure adequate power for regression analyses. A total of 142 valid responses were obtained (effective response rate 71%).

6.3 Instruments

The study adopted a survey questionnaire with four sub-constructs:



1. System Quality (SQ): items assessing functionality, reliability, usability (e.g., “The CRM system provides the features I need to perform my job effectively,” “System is reliable with minimal downtime,” “The user interface is intuitive and easy to navigate”).
2. Training Quality (TQ): items assessing comprehensiveness and interactivity (e.g., “Training covered all essential CRM processes,” “Training included hands-on practice,” “Ongoing refresher sessions are offered”).
3. Data Quality (DQ): items assessing accuracy, completeness, timeliness (e.g., “Customer data in the CRM is accurate,” “Data fields are complete for customers I engage with,” “Customer information is updated promptly”).
4. Sales Performance (SP): triangulated with objective metrics (e.g., number of new accounts closed, cross-sell rate, revenue per salesperson) and a self-assessment item. Where possible, objective records from the CRM and sales dashboards were used.

All items were measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree), with higher scores indicating more favourable perceptions or greater performance. The instrument underwent pre-testing and content validation with an expert panel of CRM practitioners and academics. Reliability (Cronbach’s alpha) was assessed for each construct in the pilot.

6.3 Data Collection Procedures

The following considerations were taken:

1. Administration: Paper-and-pencil or online questionnaire distributed to eligible sales personnel, with assurances of confidentiality.
2. Ethical considerations: Informed consent obtained; data anonymized; approvals from institutional review boards and the bank’s ethics committee secured.

6.4 Data Analysis

In order to complete the data analysis processes, the following steps were taken:

1. Preliminary analyses: Descriptive statistics, reliability tests (Cronbach’s alpha), and Pearson correlations among constructs.
2. Main analysis: Hierarchical multiple regression to test incremental variance explained in SPSS 26.
 - a. Model 1: System Quality (SQ) as predictor of SP.
 - b. Model 2: Add Training Quality (TQ).
 - c. Model 3: Add Data Quality (DQ).
3. Control variables: Age, tenure, role, and branch size included if they showed theoretical relevance or empirical associations with SP.
4. Assumptions checked: Linearity, homoscedasticity, multicollinearity (VIF), normality of residuals.
5. Effect sizes: R^2 change, standardized beta coefficients, and p-values reported.

6.6 Validity and Reliability

1. Content validity: Expert review and alignment with extant CRM scales.
2. Construct validity: Confirmatory checks via factor loadings and cross-loadings in pilot; convergent validity via average variance extracted (AVE) thresholds where applicable.
3. Reliability: Cronbach’s alpha target ≥ 0.70 for all constructs.

7. RESULTS

All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0 (IBM, 2020), with guidance from the SPSS Survival Manual (Pallant, 2020).

7.1 Response Rate

Of the 200 questionnaires distributed to sales personnel at Access Bank Zambia Limited, 156 were returned (78% raw response rate), of which 142 were complete and valid (71% effective response rate). This exceeds the 50% threshold recommended for organisational surveys and the 55% median found in management research, indicating acceptable generalisability (Fincham, 2008; Baruch & Holtom, 2008).

7.2 Preliminary Analysis

Prior to hypothesis testing, the dataset underwent rigorous screening and assumption checks to ensure integrity and suitability for parametric analyses (Pallant, 2020; Field, 2018).

7.3 Data Screening & Cleaning



An initial audit revealed that 2.8 % of all item-responses were missing (238 of 8 500 data points). Little’s MCAR test indicated that data were missing completely at random ($\chi^2(42) = 45.62, p = .31$), permitting listwise deletion without biasing parameter estimates (Pallant, 2020). Consequently, 4 cases (2.8 % of 146) with more than 20 % missing data were removed, leaving $N = 142$ for analysis. Univariate outliers were identified via Z-scores beyond ± 3.29 ; 5 cases exhibited extreme values on one or more variables and were winsorised at the 1st/99th percentiles to retain sample size while limiting undue influence (Field, 2018).

7.4 Assumption Testing

1. Normality: Skewness and kurtosis for all Likert-scale constructs fell within ± 1.5 , satisfying the ± 2 cutoff for approximately normal distributions (Table 4.3).
2. Linearity & Homoscedasticity: Examination of scatterplots between each independent variable and sales performance showed linear trends and approximately equal spread of residuals across predicted values.
3. Independence of Errors: The Durbin–Watson statistic was 1.92, indicating independence of residuals (values close to 2.0 are ideal) (Pallant, 2020).
4. Multicollinearity: Tolerance values ranged from 0.62 to 0.78 and VIF values from 1.28 to 1.61, all well below the critical VIF threshold of 5 (Table 4.3), confirming no problematic multicollinearity among predictors.

Table 1. Normality and Collinearity Diagnostics

Construct	Skewness	Kurtosis	Tolerance	VIF
CRM System Quality	0.42	-0.15	0.62	1.61
Training Quality	0.28	-0.05	0.68	1.47
Data Quality	0.35	0.10	0.78	1.28
Sales Performance	0.10	-0.30	-	-

7.5 Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics for the four key constructs are presented in Table 4.4. CRM System Quality recorded a mean of 4.02 (SD = 0.65), indicating generally favourable perceptions among respondents. Training Quality (M = 3.85, SD = 0.72) and Data Quality (M = 3.93, SD = 0.68) also averaged above the scale midpoint. Sales Performance exhibited a mean of 3.75 (SD = 0.80), suggesting moderate improvements since CRM implementation. All skewness and kurtosis values lie within ± 1.5 , well under the ± 2 threshold for acceptable normality (Field, 2018), thus supporting the use of parametric analyses.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Key Constructs

Construct	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (SD)	Skewness	Kurtosis
CRM System Quality	4.02	0.65	-0.32	0.10
Training Quality	3.85	0.72	-0.21	-0.05
Data Quality	3.93	0.68	-0.28	0.02
Sales Performance	3.75	0.80	-0.10	-0.15

7.6 Validity and Reliability Checks

This section reports the outcomes of factor analysis to assess construct validity and Cronbach’s alpha coefficients to evaluate internal consistency reliability for the CRM implementation constructs.

7.7 Exploratory Factor Analysis

A Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure of sampling adequacy of 0.82 and Bartlett’s test of sphericity ($\chi^2(66) = 512.34, p < .001$) confirmed the suitability of the data for factor analysis (KMO > 0.60; Bartlett’s $p < .05$) (Kaiser, 1974; Bartlett, 1954). Principal-component extraction with Varimax rotation yielded three factors with eigenvalues greater than 1, explaining 68.5 % of the total variance. All item loadings exceeded the 0.50 threshold, supporting convergent validity (Hair et al., 2010).



Table 3: Factor Analysis Results

Construct	Item Code	Factor Loading
CRM System Quality	SQ1	0.78
	SQ2	0.81
	SQ3	0.74
Training Quality	TQ1	0.76
	TQ2	0.79
	TQ3	0.77
Data Quality	DQ1	0.83
	DQ2	0.80
	DQ3	0.75
Total Variance Explained		68.5 %

7.8 Reliability Analysis

Cronbach's alpha coefficients for each construct exceeded the industry benchmark of 0.70 (Nunnally, 1978), indicating satisfactory internal consistency.

Table 4: Reliability Statistics

Construct	Number of Items	Cronbach's α
CRM System Quality	3	0.83
Training Quality	3	0.80
Data Quality	3	0.85
Sales Performance	4	0.88

7.9 Inferential Statistics

This section examines the relationships among CRM implementation dimensions and sales performance and tests the study hypotheses using Pearson correlation and multiple regression analyses.

7.9.1 Correlation Analysis

Pearson's correlation coefficients indicate that all three CRM dimensions are positively and significantly related to sales performance. CRM System Quality exhibited the strongest association ($r = .57, p < .001$), followed by Data Quality ($r = .49, p < .001$) and Training Quality ($r = .45, p < .001$). These results provide preliminary support for $H_1, H_2,$ and H_3 .

Table 5: Correlation Matrix

Variable	1	2	3	4
1. CRM System Quality	—			
2. Training Quality	.62**	—		
3. Data Quality	.55**	.58**	—	
4. Sales Performance	.57**	.45**	.49**	—

Note. N = 142; p < .001.

7.9.2 Multiple Regression Analysis

A hierarchical regression was conducted to assess the unique contributions of each CRM dimension to sales performance. In Model 1, CRM System Quality was entered and explained 32.5% of the variance in sales performance ($R^2 = .325, F(1,140) = 67.30, p < .001$). Model 2 added Training Quality, increasing explained



variance to 41.8% ($\Delta R^2 = .093$, F-change (1,139) = 17.28, $p < .001$). In Model 3, Data Quality was included, yielding a final R^2 of 48.6% ($\Delta R^2 = .068$, F-change (1,138) = 14.12, $p < .001$). Table 4.6b presents the regression coefficients.

Table 6: Hierarchical Regression Predicting Sales Performance

Predictor	B	SE B	β	T	P
Model 1					
CRM System Quality	0.68	0.08	0.57	8.20	< .001
Model 2					
CRM System Quality	0.51	0.09	0.43	5.67	< .001
Training Quality	0.32	0.08	0.27	4.16	< .001
Model 3					
CRM System Quality	0.44	0.10	0.37	4.40	< .001
Training Quality	0.28	0.09	0.24	3.11	.002
Data Quality	0.31	0.08	0.29	3.76	< .001

Model Fit:

1. Model 1: $R^2 = .325$, $F(1,140) = 67.30$, $p < .001$
2. Model 2: $R^2 = .418$, $\Delta R^2 = .093$, F-change (1,139) = 17.28, $p < .001$
3. Model 3: $R^2 = .486$, $\Delta R^2 = .068$, F-change (1,138) = 14.12, $p < .001$

These findings indicate that all three CRM implementation dimensions significantly predict sales performance, with CRM System Quality contributing the largest unique effect, thus supporting H_{11} , H_{12} , and H_{13} .

7.9.3 Hypothesis-Testing Summary

The table below summarises the tests of the three hypotheses, indicating the analytical method, key statistics, decision, and substantive interpretation.

Table 7: Summary of Hypothesis Tests

Hypothesis	Test	Statistic (β , t)	p-value	Decision	Interpretation
H_{11} : System Quality → Sales	Hierarchical regression	$\beta = 0.37$, $t(138) = 4.40$	< .001	Supported	Higher CRM system quality is associated with improved sales performance.
H_{12} : Training Quality → Sales	Hierarchical regression	$\beta = 0.24$, $t(138) = 3.11$.002	Supported	Better user training quality leads to higher sales performance.
H_{13} : Data Quality → Sales	Hierarchical regression	$\beta = 0.29$, $t(138) = 3.76$	< .001	Supported	Superior CRM data quality significantly enhances sales performance.

All three hypotheses were supported, confirming that each dimension of CRM implementation makes a significant, positive contribution to sales outcomes.

7.10 Interpretation of Findings

The results confirm the central role of CRM system quality in driving sales performance. A robust, reliable, and usable CRM platform reduces friction in sales processes and enhances the ability to capture and act on customer interactions. It was also found that training quality adds incremental value beyond system quality. Comprehensive, interactive training improves CRM utilization, user competence, and the ability to translate system features into sales actions.



Furthermore, data quality contributes additional explanatory power beyond system and training, underscoring the importance of timely, accurate, and complete customer data for effective targeting, cross-sell opportunities, and forecasting.

7.11 Theoretical Implications

The following were the theoretical implications identified:

1. Support for the IS Success Model in an emerging-market banking context, with system quality as a critical early-stage driver of performance, followed by training and data governance components.
2. The TOE framework remains relevant: organizational readiness (training], governance) and environmental constraints (infrastructure reliability) shape CRM value realization.
3. The additive effect of data quality reinforces RBV perspectives that data assets complement process capabilities to create performance advantages.

7.12 Practical Implications

1. Investments should prioritize CRM platform reliability and usability to minimize user resistance and maximize uptake.
2. Blended-learning training programs combining initial onboarding with ongoing, modular refreshers can sustain skill levels and data-entry accuracy.
3. Data governance priorities, including data cleansing routines, standard data-entry practices, and automated validation, can improve data quality and CRM-driven decision-making.
4. In resource-constrained settings, phased deployments with scalable architecture and offline-capable functionality can mitigate connectivity issues while preserving data integrity.

7.13 Contextual Considerations for Zambia

1. Infrastructure constraints such as network bandwidth and power stability can affect real-time CRM updates. Solutions like offline-capable modules, local caches, and periodic synchronization schedules can help.
2. Staff turnover and training budget constraints necessitate scalable, cost-effective training approaches (e.g., microlearning, mobile-based modules, peer-to-peer mentoring).
3. Data governance must be embedded in daily workflows to sustain data quality improvements.

7.14 Limitations

The following were the identified limitations for this study:

1. Cross-sectional design limits causal inference; longitudinal studies would better capture the dynamics of CRM maturation and sales performance over time.
2. Self-reported measures of system and training quality may be subject to social desirability or recall bias, though triangulation with objective sales data mitigates some concerns.
3. The study focuses on a single bank in one country, which may limit generalizability to other institutions or settings. Replications across multiple banks and adjacent markets would strengthen external validity.

7.15 Suggestions for Future Research

1. Longitudinal panel studies to observe CRM adoption trajectories and sales performance across multiple fiscal cycles.
2. Comparative studies across banks with different CRM implementations (cloud vs. on-premises, modular vs. full-stack) to assess generalizability of the tripartite model.
3. Investigations into moderating effects of organizational culture, leadership support, and data governance maturity on CRM outcomes.
4. Exploring the role of advanced analytics and AI within CRM in emerging markets and their incremental effect on sales performance.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 Conclusions

The study demonstrates that CRM system quality, training quality, and data quality collectively explain substantial variance in sales performance at Access Bank Zambia Limited. System quality alone explains 32.5% of variance; training quality adds 9.3%, and data quality adds 6.8%, culminating in an adjusted R^2 of 0.486. These findings suggest that a holistic approach to CRM implementation, ensuring a robust platform, effective training, and high-quality data, yields meaningful sales performance gains in a resource-constrained banking environment.



8.2 Recommendations for Practice

1. Prioritize system quality improvements: invest in reliable infrastructure, regular maintenance, usability testing, and feature completeness aligned with sales workflows.
2. Implement blended learning: design ongoing training with a mix of virtual micro-lessons, hands-on practice, and periodic refresher sessions. Create a CRM champion network within the sales team to sustain knowledge transfer.
3. Strengthen data governance: implement data validation rules, standardize data entry protocols, automate data cleaning, and establish data quality metrics with regular reporting.
4. Adopt a phased, risk-managed rollout: start with critical modules (lead management, opportunity tracking) and progressively roll out advanced analytics features as data quality improves.
5. Build resilience to infrastructural constraints: develop offline capabilities, local caching, and asynchronous synchronization to ensure continuity of CRM activities during outages.

References

- Ahearne, M., Jelinek, R., & Jones, E. (2019). Examining the factors affecting CRM success: An empirical study. *Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management*, 39(2), 123–138.
- Baker, J. (2012). The technology–organization–environment framework. In Y. K. Dwivedi et al. (Eds.), *Information systems theory* (pp. 231–245). Springer.
- Bartlett, M. S. (1954). A note on the multiplying factors for various χ^2 approximations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, 16(2), 296–298.
- Baruch, Y., & Holtom, B. C. (2008). Survey response rate levels and trends in organisational research. *Human Relations*, 61(8), 1139–1160.
- Boateng, H. (2016). Customer knowledge management practices on a micro social-media platform: A case study of Facebook. *Information Development*, 32(3), 440–451.
- Boateng, H. (2018). Data quality challenges in African telecommunications: A Ghanaian case study. *Information Development*, 34(4), 330–342.
- Boateng, H., & Okoe, A. F. (2020). Cloud-based CRM adoption in sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and opportunities. *Information Technology for Development*, 26(1), 112–133.
- Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2015). *Business research methods* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Buttle, F., & Maklan, S. (2015). *Customer relationship management: Concepts and technologies* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Celik, G., & Sener, E. (2020). Assessing CRM system quality and its impact on user satisfaction in banking. *International Journal of Information Management*, 50, 54–66.
- Chisanga, K. (2023a). CRM adoption intensity and sales performance in Zambian banking. *African Journal of Information Systems*, 15(1), 90–108.
- Chisanga, K. (2023b). CRM data completeness and sales outcomes in Zambian banks. *African Journal of Information Systems*, 15(1), 72–89.
- Chisanga, K. (2023c). CRM training effectiveness in Zambian commercial banks. *African Journal of Information Systems*, 15(1), 55–71.
- Choudhury, N., & Harrigan, P. (2020). CRM data quality and sales effectiveness: An empirical assessment. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 8(1), 3–15.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Davis, F. D., et al. (2020). Blended learning in CRM competency development: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Management Education*, 44(5), 587–606.
- DeLone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (2003). The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success: A ten-year update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(4), 9–30.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: The tailored design method* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Domingo, R., Marco, M., & Rubio, N. (2017). User training and system adoption in CRM projects. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(3), 565–578.
- Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Fincham, J. E. (2008). Response rates and responsiveness for surveys, standards, and the journal. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 72(2), 43.
- Hair, J. F., et al. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Haynes, S. N., Richard, D., & Kubany, E. S. (1995). Content validity in psychological assessment: A functional approach to concepts and methods. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3), 238–247.



- Hove, G., & Takala, J. (2020). Training gaps in CRM deployments: A Sub-Saharan perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 51, 102034.
- IBM Corp. (2020). *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 26.0)* [Computer software]. IBM Corp.
- Israel, G. D. (1992). *Determining sample size (PEOD-6)*. University of Florida Cooperative Extension Service.
- Israel, M., & Hay, I. (2006). *Research ethics for social scientists*. Sage.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39(1), 31–36.
- Kumar, V., & Reinartz, W. (2016). *Customer relationship management: Concept, strategy, and tools* (2nd ed.). Springer.
- Malhotra, N. K. (2010). *Marketing research: An applied orientation* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Maroldi, M., & Ribeiro, M. (2019). Enhancing CRM adoption through effective training. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 101–115.
- Martin, K. D., & Murphy, P. E. (2017). The role of data privacy in CRM: Balancing personalization and trust. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 142(2), 397–414.
- Mbewe, S., & Kunda, M. (2021). Determinants of CRM usage among Zambian retail banks. *Zambian Journal of Business Research*, 9(2), 24–39.
- Ndlovu, P. (2020). Usability barriers in CRM systems: Evidence from South African retail chains. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 54, 102028.
- Nguyen, B., et al. (2019). Comparative field study on CRM system variants: Performance and error rates. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 158, 110422.
- Nguyen, T. H., & Waring, T. (2006). Examining the critical factors for CRM success: The case of training and support services. *International Journal of Information Management*, 26(2), 10–25.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- O'Brien, R. M. (2007). A caution regarding rules of thumb for variance inflation factors. *Quality & Quantity*, 41(5), 673–690.
- Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2011). Literature review of information technology adoption models at firm level. *Electronic Journal of Information Systems Evaluation*, 14(1), 110–121.
- Ostrom, A. L., et al. (2019). Service design for customer engagement in CRM contexts. *Journal of Service Research*, 22(1), 9–26.
- Otieno, L. (2018). Culturally tailored CRM training in Kenyan banking. *African Journal of Information Systems*, 10(2), 45–62.
- Otieno, L. (2019). Master data management for CRM success: Lessons from Kenyan banking. *Journal of African Business*, 20(3), 270–289.
- Pallant, J. (2020). *SPSS survival manual* (7th ed.). Open University Press.
- Payne, A., & Frow, P. (2017). *Strategic customer management: Integrating relationship marketing and CRM*. Cambridge University Press.
- Petter, S., DeLone, W., & McLean, E. (2008). Measuring information systems success: Models, dimensions, measures, and interrelationships. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 17(3), 236–263.
- Phiri, D. (2022). ICT infrastructure readiness and CRM deployment in Zambia. *Lusaka Business Review*, 5(1), 41–58.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903.
- Rigby, D. K., Reichheld, F. F., & Scheffer, P. (2002). Avoid the four perils of CRM. *Harvard Business Review*, 80(2), 101–109.
- Robson, C., & McCartan, K. (2016). *Real world research* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Sambamurthy, V., Bharadwaj, A., & Grover, V. (2017). Shaping agility through digital options: Reconceptualizing the role of IT in contemporary organizations. *MIS Quarterly*, 41(2), 505–528.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research methods for business students* (8th ed.). Pearson.
- Scannapieco, M., & Batini, C. (2016). Data quality in CRM: Frameworks and empirical evidence. *Information Systems Journal*, 26(4), 351–378.
- Seddon, P. B. (1997). A respecification and extension of the DeLone and McLean model of IS success. *Information Systems Research*, 8(3), 240–253.
- Spector, P. E. (2019). *Do not cross me: Preventing workplace aggression and bullying* (2nd ed.). American Psychological Association.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2, 53–55.
- Tornatzky, L. G., & Fleischer, M. (1990). *The processes of technological innovation*. Lexington Books.



- Trainor, K. J., et al. (2014). Leveraging CRM capabilities: The impact on customer engagement. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 8(3), 204–226.
- Wang, R., & Wang, J. (2019). The role of data quality in CRM system success. *Journal of Business Research*, 95, 105–116.
- Wang, R. Y., Strong, D. M., & Crosby, P. B. (1996). Beyond accuracy: What data quality means to data consumers. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 12(4), 5–34.
- World Medical Association. (2013). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*, 310(20), 2191–2194.
- Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics: An introductory analysis* (2nd ed.). Harper & Row.
- Yan, X., & Shi, J. (2021). System avoidance in enterprise CRM environments. *Information & Management*, 58(4), 1034–56.